

of the hearth, which is brought out three chapters earlier, and ~~would~~ reinforce the idea of the hearth rather definitely, I think. Yes, Mr. Grauley? (6 3/4) Yes, I wouldn't think, in this context. That would be a possible connection, but you'd have to have something connected and I don't think there is anything connecting with that in this particular instance. So then, the commentaries at any rate all agree that v.1 is speaking about Jerusalem. Now v.2 says Jerusalem is going to have trouble, and v.3 ~~says~~ there is going to be a siege, and Isaiah is looking forward to an attack on Jerusalem, v.4 describes it as in a very difficult situation. What do they say about verses 2, 3, and 4? Did some of your commentaries explicitly say this is the attack under Sennacherib? Did any of them say this is something else? It certainly seems to fit very precisely with this situation. Yes? Jamison, Faucet, and Brown, there certainly seems to be getting an awful lot into it. If you say, there is going to be trouble, well, every trouble that they ever have, let's put under it. It can be, it is possible to say, that here is a place that there is going to be all kinds of trouble and difficulty and you cover everything for ^{a few thousand}~~2000~~ years, but this is rather explicit, to describe the specific situation, and if you have a specific situation rather exactly fulfilled, what warrant do you have to look for another. Mr. Vannoy? You will find that in verses--end of v.2, yes, but then how does it fit with v.5? It is true that v.3 seems to go beyond what actually happened under Sennacherib, you ~~have~~ have to take 3 as meaning not immediately, but in the somewhat figurative sense, ~~is~~ that is, with (9) Palestine being taken over by them, rather than an immediate

But it shows Jerusalem down, speaking out of the ground, whispering out of the dust, it shows them in a very, very painful situation which ~~would~~ be just what we found under Sennacherib. There were other times. Certainly there were other times which vv.2, 3, and 4 could fully describe, but when you come to v.5, then of course you are in a different situation, What about v.5? That word "Moreover", what does that prove? Mr. Grauley? (9 1/2) has much more