

No. 26 contd./

Why will this happen? Why will it be possible that He take a portion. The next continues, "because He has poured out His soul unto death." It looks back to the crucifixion as the basis on which the seizing of a portion is based. Because He has, perfect, poured out His soul unto death and with transgressors He has been numbered, another perfect, and He, the emphatic pronoun, is the one who, the sin of many, He has borne, another perfect. These ~~a~~ things are going to happen in the future, because, two imperfects, three things have been done in the past, three perfects. Then we have another imperfect. And, therefore, having another imperfect, you can say that as far as time is concerned, this final imperfect is parallel with the first two imperfects, not with the three perfects in between. See there is no Waw before it to confuse you with separate--the Waw is connected with the noun--it is separate from the waw. It is a clear case of an imperfect following three perfects. And that should be enough to show that there's a change --some kind of a difference. Now, is the difference that up to this point we've ~~x~~ been told that He is going to do this--He's going to seize a portion from the great. He's going to take away a portion from the strong, on the ground of what He has done in the past--the three things He has done in the past. And on the ground of something He will do in the future, is this act (?), or that He is going to take the portion from the great on the grounds of the three things He has done in the past. And now we ~~t~~ go to tell another thing that is going to be done--another thing He is going to do. Well, those are two possibilities. But the difference between those two possibilities is matter of interpretation, rather than a clear statement. There are two clear imperfects followed by three perfects given as a ground upon which the first two imperfects are going to take place. Whether you are going to interpret the next - another imperfect-