

Survey of Isa. 7-12, 28-35

June 15, 1972

he is referring to the One Immanuel who is God is with us. Here he is saying, These plans, these human plans are not going to work because this is Immanuel's land. God is with us. Immanuel owns this land. Immanuel is going to protect His land in His own way and He sends this great disaster to Sennacherib's army 30 yrs. later, and protects it so that the Assyrians are unable to take it. Of course eventually 150 yrs. later he allowed the Babylonians, a different people to - take them into captivity for their sins, but He does not forsake them then because He still ~~is~~ has his continuing purpose for Israel.

Now then --- of course this theme of Immanuel does go on. I think we had better --- We have summarized ch. 7 pretty much now. You notice how vs. 14 stands by itself. Vs. 15 does not refer to Christ. "Butter and honey shall he eat when he knows to refuse the evil and choose the good." Vs. 15 is saying, If this one were to be born right now -- we don't know whe- he is going to be ~~born~~ born -- it's not been revealed. If this woman were pregnant right now, we don't know when ---- if she were right now by the time ~~he~~ reached the age of making simple choices, there would be plenty of butter and honey because the land would be so depopulated, and this change described in the latter part of the chapter would already have occurred. Now that's the way it impresses me you are to take it. It's a sharp breat between vs. 14 telling about Immanuel, and vs. 15 using Immanuel's childhood as a measuring stick on the supposition he was born now tho actually it's 700 yrs. off. Now some prefer to say that butter honey shall he eat points to his son Shear jashub who is beside him == he is saying this one here will be in this situation. I don't think that is nearly as satisfactory, - but whatever it is it ~~is~~ definitely isn't Christ. Now there are some who try~~d~~ to make a double interpretation. They say, This is talking about somebody in Ahaz'day, ~~This/~~ and also about somebody in Christ's day. But the second one is talking about someone in Ahaz' day and has nothing to do with Christ. These two kings are going to be gone in less than 700 yrs. -- what would that mean. The second has nothing to do ~~with~~ with Christ and the first has nothing to do with the time of Ahaz but it has a