

J uses the word, the name Jehovah, while the documents P and E both use the name Elohim. ^{Thus} ~~As~~ we see that they have two names as a basis for dividing ~~the~~ into three documents. Smith points out that in Genesis 1:1 to 2:4a Elohim is used consistently for God and Jehovah never, but that from 2:4b to 3:24, the name Jehovah God is used 20 times, and Elohim only about 4 times. It is indeed interesting that in the first few chapters of Genesis we find this marked different, and yet there is a natural reason for the difference. The word ~~for~~ Elohim for God is the word that represents God as the great powerful ~~God--~~ Being, the Creator. ~~That is~~ ^{the} 1:1 to 2:4a is discussing/creation. It is natural to use the term that speaks of him as the Creator. Man is only barely mentioned in this passage in the brief account of creation toward the end of chapter 1. From chapter 2:4 on through the end of ~~th~~ chapter 3, he is talking about God's relationship with man. Here the personal name of God is used, showing God as the one who is blessing and caring for man. Thus, there is a natural reason for a change of names here. It is indeed, as he says, strange to change _____ to New England.. ~~if~~ you would think ~~of~~ ^{that it was} two different authors or different ~~view~~ viewpoints. Well, it would be a ~~view~~ different viewpoint. If we had a discussion of the history of Manhattan, we might tell about it prior to 1660 ~~what-~~ when the English ~~contradicted from-~~ ----- from the Dutch, and we might always refer to it as a new Amsterdam which is the name that the Dutch gave to us. However, after it was captured by the English, they ~~came-~~ change the name in honor of the Duke of York~~k~~, later King James II/ and made it New York. In writing... after that time ^{would} we call it New York... in writing an extensive history before that time... ~~if~~ we would naturally call it New York. In writing an extensive history of before that time, we would naturally call it New Amsterdam. It is a .. there would be different viewpoints. It would certainly not be any reason to say that there was a different author. If we went on in our history/ of New York, and found that there would be a number of pages with New Amsterdam and then a number of pages with New York. We might think that there were something strange about it, but actually as you continue after this in Genesis, Exodus, we find that there are very ~~ve-~~ few long passages which stick to one name or to the other. If the stress is on God, ~~the~~