

The present system of indicating vowels in the Hebrew Bible originated rather later and was standardized in the 9th and 10th centuries after Christ, A.D., when a group of very careful Biblical school students devoted their lives to the task of ~~guarding~~ guarding the text from error. Their purpose, they said, was to build a hedge/~~for~~ ~~xxxx~~ ~~xxxxxx~~ masora around the law to preserve it from ~~any~~ contamination. From this word they ~~came to be called Masoretes~~. Though these men, who came to be called "Masoretes," examined the extant manuscripts very carefully, and copied precisely what they found, in some places--perhaps once to a page--they found a word contained in a majority<sup>of</sup> of their manuscripts in a/~~for~~ slightly different form from the tradition that had ~~been passed on~~ had come down to them. In these cases they would/~~keep~~ <sup>keep</sup> the text exactly as they found it in the majority of the manuscripts available to them, but would put in a footnote the ~~consonants~~ <sup>into</sup> consonants that they believed should be there. When they inserted the vowel points above ~~or~~ or below the consonants they would put in into the text the vowels that belonged with the ~~common~~ consonants ~~xxx~~ that were kept in the footnote. They called the reading preserved in the ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ consonantal text the ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ kathibh (Aramaic for "written"). The reading that they thought should be read they called ~~that~~ the qeri "qeri" (Aramaic for the imperative of "read." read).

The judgment of the Masoretes on these points ~~is~~ is very important, yet ~~scholar~~ ~~modern~~ modern scholars feel that the ~~xxxxxxxx~~ kathibh is always worthy of ~~xxx~~ consideration as a possibility.

Sometimes it is ~~obvious~~ obvious that the kathibh does not make much sense in the context, and that the qeri is doubtless right. correct. In other cases there may be two possibilities of interpretation.