

Editors, Look Magazine

New York City

Dear Sirs:

Please correct the erroneous impression ~~which you have~~ which has doubtless been created by the article which has been unfortunately been called, "The Truth About the Bible". This title and the sub-head under it about Fifty Thousand Errors gives an utterly false impression. This is especially the case since the first few paragraphs deal with almost the only instances where a passage of any length in our Bible is taught on solid evidence not to belong in the Scripture.

~~The statement that there~~ The particular passages at the beginning of the article singles out ~~as being~~ as not belonging in the Bible at all, have been known for a long time to be probably not probably late insertions. In fact the Scofield reference Bible, which was published by the Oxford Press in 1909 and continues in print up to the present date, ~~with~~ ^{all} ~~many sales/over~~ with thousands of copies being sold ~~all~~ over the English speaking world, points out each one of these instances as being probably not in the original Scripture. The cases are They are, however, practically unique. Nor do they cast out on the its ~~dependability~~ of the Bible as the statements of Mr. Spence would suggest. Since all but one of them are abundantly parallel in other parts of the Bible of whose authenticity there is no question whatever.

Does the statement for instance from John 8 about the adulteress and the is one which is not parallel elsewhere in the Scripture. However, it is uncertain whether it belongs whether it was in the original writing of St. J^hn or not. However, if it is not, it does not ~~touch~~ in any way touch the essential teaching of the New Testament but merely is a question of whether one incident is true or not. The statements which are quoted from the end of