

is here is here is. Some do more of one than others do. But no one can do any one of them without to some extent the

Any other questions?

Question: In Genesis where it teaches that God breathed into man his spirit, and it seems to imply that he did not do that for other living creatures, how far do you feel we should carry that argument for the fact that though there are similarities between animals and man, ~~mans+personality~~ such as personality and my dog has happy eyes, etc. How far do you think we can use that passage as an argument for a real distinction between man and animals.

I don't think it is definite at all. I don't think we can use it where it says ~~that~~ God created man in his image ^{that} God is a Spirit and they that worship Him must worship Him in spirit and in truth. God created man in his image, and I believe that the spirit is the ~~sim~~ image of God. This similarity to God is (ssn) its being a spiritual being. But that where He breathed into him ~~and+he+~~ the breath of life and he became a nephesh chayah --- nephesh is the word often translated soul, or life, and chayah is often translated living - a living soul. M A few chapters later it speaks about the flood in which all the fish and animals die and everything that had ~~it+it+~~ in it a nephesh chayah. I don't know whether you could interpret that to mean that that is speaking of the human being in addition to the others; but it usually taken as meaning meant that he considered all of them as having nephesh chayah. So I'm inclined to think that the word nephesh which is often translated soul includes a good bit of but the image of God is I think is the passage

Question: What is this image of God?