

Christ or Paul never built an argument of any part of the Bible through just a word snatched out of context--verbal inspiration means that the words that are used do not convey an incorrect idea--these words that are used together do convey the true picture that is to be presented. It doesn't even mean that these are the only words that could have been used--Jesus might have said something in Aramaic but when translated into Greek, it might not have represented the exact idea but the translation into Greek does give us a good idea of what Jesus said, even though it isn't exactly what He said. The book of Mark or Luke might refer to the same occurrence but use another word which may equally be a good translation and both may equally well represent the Aramaic but they are not an exact translation and when we put the two together we get even a more exact meaning of the Aramaic. Verbal inspiration does not mean that the sound of those very words are magical--Ill. of woman who wept hearing the word, "Mesopotamia." These words do give us a picture that is free from error in doctrine, fact, or judgment. There are too many people counting the letters etc. instead of seeing the Word of God as a whole--if a sentence does give a summary of a teaching of Scripture then we can say that is the Word of God--ILL. of man who opened up the Bible--"And Judas hanged himself, etc. No where does God say that He will lead us by picking out verses in context. God may stoop to our weakness and lead us by all kinds of things but He will do that only so long that we remain babes in Christ.

The remainder of records 15, 16, 17, and 18 have no sound on them

→ O.T. INTRO. NO. 19
 No. 6 Inspiration refers to the original manuscripts. The manuscripts were in Hebrew and Greek. They have disappeared, we have copies of copies of them. We have now translation. Our present copy is a very good representation of the originals but is not identical with it. A good translation gives a very good representation of the meaning but is only a translation of it and cannot be considered as authoritative. No translation can be. I think one of the most humorous things and at the same time tragic in the history of Christianity is this, that when the Latin Church about 400 A.D. was using its Latin Bibles which had been translated by various people and the language was sort of beginning to get out of date a very scholarly man set to work to make a new translation and he began to make this in the language of the common people so that they could understand what the Bible meant and so he called it the language of the vulgar people or common people or the Vulgate and so he made what we call the Vulgate Translation. The very name means the Bible in the language of the common people and