

go into them particularly but on this very point as to the unity of Isaiah the N.T. does give us very definite evidence and so to my mind the evidence in the N.T. is not 100th as important as to ~~who~~<sup>who</sup> wrote the book of Isaiah or whether it is one book or two or three books as there for the purpose as showing up this easy way for whowing you this easy path that would lead you into higher criticism--of closing up that enticing method and which would logically lead you into dividing up every book~~s~~ of the O.T. in to many different sections coming from many different periods. Twenty years ago they used to say that Ezekiel was the fulcrum for dividing up the Pentateuch but now they divide up Ezekiel as much as any book. The method can be taken to any limit and there is not stopping once that it is started. But the question is, Is it a valid method. And the place where it is the strongest is on the matter here with the two Isaiahs and so right here I am interested in giving you evidence which shows definitely that the critical argument is not a valid one here. That at least there is a good deal of material to prove the unity of the book and there is not sufficient strength to the critical argument to prove the necessity of dividing the book into two, three or a dozen sections. Has the Lord set any particular seal on this approach? Has the N.T. give any idea as to the unity of Isaiah? It~~ixs~~ would be entirely possible that two men would write but there is every reason to think that the whole book was written by one man. I would like to look at the N.T. evidence and I have a list of N.T. passages and the method of introducing the quotations and how it is used.

#### 1. Examination of New Testament references.

Matt. 3:3. There we find that John the Baptist tells them to repent because this is the One that was spoken of by Isaiah--was he an inspired man and was every word that he was true. He wasn't God and could have been in error but if he was, I wouldn't expect the Holy Spirit to quote Isaiah in an erroneous statement and it would have been easy enough ~~that~~ to say that This is the one spoken of by the prophet saying because there is no need of repeating Isaiah--The voice of One crying in the wilderness prepare ye the way of the Lord, make his paths straight. It might be better to say that it is what Matt. said himself and he didn't have to use the name of Isaiah and he might have even said that this was found in the book called Isaiah, but here it is specifically mentioned--Isaiah the prophet and the quotation is from the so-called 2nd Isaiah. It would