

are Christians and believe in a miracle working God and yet say the second part of Is. could not be by Isaiah because the historical accounts keep it from the first. This is a minor feature of the historical background and yet a very striking one. A very small point a under that is that the prediction could conceivably have been given without the name and the name put in later. This would mean insertion of a word or averse and would not prove the whole section to be labeled. The very fact that Cyrus is twice named in the second Isaiah does not make it necessary that the whole thing was done at the time of Cyrus. It would not be sufficient to move the whole section to another time because of this one fact. I think the unlikely hood is brought out in point b. This however is not likely however, since the claim of the passage of the Cyrus is predicted well in advance. That is the claim of the passage. For me to write and say Truman is going to be reelected for <sup>pres.</sup> ~~Truman~~ ~~is-going-to-be~~ would not be so great. If I should say that I had found a book written a hundred years ago and the name of the 37th president is to be ~~him~~ Truman, then people would really be interested. When I present that it shows that there is an unusual knowledge involved. Dr. Ellis--in 1912 and he tried to take up the literary standards in order to show that is the structure in attempting to show that Cyrus is coming.

#194

He then claims it is something written long in advance and it could not be then something a man wrote right at the time. On the face of it it claims to not be quite so strong and it isn't such an important argument but it has received quite some attention. George L. Robinson of McCormick Sem. who had little use for ~~nevertheless~~ nevertheless puts this argument forth and he is greatly affected by it. He is a man who was quite liberal on some points but quite sure Isaiah was by one author. He wrote this article to prove it. Some of the profs. in Divinity school today denies all doctrine but the Virgin Birth and some do even that. Robinson was not as bad as that. He said once that he wished Moody Bible In. and all the others were dumped in the bottom of Lake Michigan. He refers strongly to Isaiah as one book, though. Dr. Awelis' argument was presented and some of his students became pastor of a church in Middletown, Pa. and they had a meeting of ministers there and different ones gave papers and he was given a paper and it presented Dr. Awelis's argument. They went through it all and put the Hebrew on the board and some of them got up afterwards and said they felt as if they were in a great cathedral and they had witnessed all of this. It is not too great in importance but it does fit in with what we note from the structure of the passage. It is stressed