

Isaiah that what it means is that dust will be the only food of the servant, that the servant in Genesis 3, it is humiliation for the servant that dust shall be his food, that he shall eat dust. It's there humiliation. Now in this particular connection it would seem to be at least a continuation of the humiliation as far as he was concerned and whether you could draw the additional note that in Genesis 3 he was told that he would bruise the man's heel and that that is no longer/ possible, whether you could do that or not is perhaps somewhat of a question. I think it is worth noting as a possibility but compared to the big question of the interpretation of the passage it is a very minor thing just what this particular phrase denotes. The—I'd rather not take further time on that particular point but while it is an interesting point it is a comparatively small thing, that from 18-25 describes a time of unprecedented material prosperity on this earth, a time of tremendously increased longevity on it, a time when there are great changes in the physical creation, a time when there is an end of war and trouble. "They shall not hurt nor destroy in all my holy mountain," saith the Lord; a time when the curse is to be removed. I think that, those five elements are clear in the passage and I don't know just where you are going to fit them in to any scheme of the future or the present unless they are set into the millennial period, because they certainly don't fit the eternal age and they certainly don't fit the Church today. They certainly are distinct from either one of those and are certainly not a picture of heaven. You wouldn't say of heaven that the child should die a hundred years old. That could have no relevancy to heaven at all. Yes? (Student) The fourth was an end of war and trouble and the fifth was the curse to be removed. Now of course that is similar to stating great changes in the physical creation. You might say that it is further elaboration of it. Well, now I don't know. We have Chapter 66 yet to cover. I don't know whether I want to take time to look much at this question of V. 17. Is V. 17 a part of the passage that follows or not? Well, just very briefly, I would say that to me it seems probably