for a time

Pharasiac Pharasaic attitude and consequently God turns to the Gentiles.

And this points up again, I am just going to mention in closing just one

group, brief statement of what I think is a verty very vital thing about the New Testament use of

Old Testament . I have hearx - heard the statement , Prove the verbal inspiration of - that the New Testament builds a whole argument on one word..on the fact that God siad said I amx am the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob. On the fact that the word seed is ksingular and not plural. The New Testament never builds an argument on e one word in the Olad Testament. The word seed is always singkular, it may xbe collective, it may be individual. Pakul is interpreting. The word ends in italics. I am the xxxx God of Abraham. It isn't events therein the Greek. The New Testament bukilds its arguments on the teaching of the Ok Testament, not on one or two lessons words. Verbal inspiration means these words are free from error. These words are true and dependable. It doesn't mean that we can take a sentence out of context, and when you say = take Isa. 65:1 here Paul abox has not grabbed something out of context, Paul has taken some words that fit in exactly with the previous chapter, the following chapters whic ; Isa. predicts from -- exactly what is going to happen to isser Israel's attitude. and exactly how God is going to turn to the Gentiles, for a time kx but then again m to pour out his His blessings upon Israel. And so, it would seem to me from that that ...to day, but x of course that weas-was very common 300 years ago. (q). I think in the main. I think in the main...(Q)I would say simply that in

23

the Bible, in the Old Testament, I doubt if most of is Qal passi.

And I would not be sru- surprised if there are a few thousands kf- of cases.

And so it would seem to me from that this is a pretty good evidence that ...

It-is-like-...