we mean that man knows a great kdeal, and when we say His knowledge we ordinarily mean ...thereoxfore, I don't know who how it was in King James....I kook kind of think that the objective genivoktive...I am inclined to think that the objective gentique is more common than it is today, but today His knowledge does not contain much meaning. By His knowledge shall my righteteous servant skjustify many suggest that He knew how to do it.

## #76

Max It is the knoweldge knowledge of Him by which many will be justified. By the knowledge of Him. I don't think that there is any question that that is what it means. An objective genitive, fits with what happened. The subjective genei-genitive is a sensibale, grammatical that thing thut it doesn't fit the context. You read before.. and accomplished nothing, but He knew how to do these things. There is no idea in the whole chapter about his wonderful knoweldge and skill , but the idea that ... Would would have book believed what we have heard, the knowledge of many will ... I like it better translated in English ... well, now that it is a semparate problem. We will leave that until tomorrow. But today, we wold-would not say it. What if someone were to say that Godl-Goldwater does not have a chance of being elected. Suppose God - Goldwater would say, Look at that man, he prophesies evil conxcerning me. It would not make any-sesn-sense today, because work today we do use evil in that sense, Well, now that-- there are words today in the kings James English thant have completely changed their meaning, like the word "let" .. I wanted to come here earlier but I wwas--was let hitherto. It wouldn't make kany sense. Whenever anaxybody reads ... so he wont be confused by it. I prevented the dawning of the morning with my prayers. Well, we know that he didn't keep the morning from dawning. It is perfectly obvious that it must meann something else. And in the IiCor. it says I do