

#69

generation who of them would consider that he was cut off out of the land of the living. But it is a rhetorical question, of course, this means the opposite of what is being said. It says that they did not, but in fact they did. So, it seems to me that it is much simpler to take ... it must be that **EITH** should be taken rather as the sign of the object, and his generation? his progeny? his accomplishment? Who would think about that? Who would consider that there was any? Yes? (Q) / It seems to me that the other is simpler, too. But who would consider his generation? Because after all, he was cut off from the land of the ~~the~~ living? Of course, **KI** here ~~stands~~ stands here for 'because' or for . Yes, yes, yes, yes.... Here our time is just about up. ~~here~~ I am hoping that we can get to the last phrase here. Verse 12 is a very interesting one. I think that the ordinary translation of it gives a ~~fk~~ false idea. And of course we did not get to the very same verse. He was buried in the grave of a rich man. It is strange that the prophet should ever entertain such / idea in this context, unless by the Divine ~~revelation~~ revelation? It does not seem to make much sense in the context. But I will tell you how it works out.

#70

It is nice to have a visitor who graduated from the Seminary 19____ ? It seems like an old time. **WE** were looking at Isaiah 53, and we still have the same thing to look at. There is so much in this chapter. This is a marvellous chapter, and there is much in it that it is not obvious at all. I think the Hebrew shows the tremendous light... How many things there are that the King James translators would not ~~have~~ be able to guess.... Because there / many things the King James translation does not convey an awful lot of meaning. Like a