

that up to this, we have definite ideas separately, but that now we go into a general flow of presentation of the work, rather than sharply divided into sections. Uh-huh. I haven't made up my mind on it, I think there's a good bit to be said for that. If you take this large section and divide it into smaller sections, ~~W~~ how many verses would you put in the first section under that, Mr. Ruble? (10 3/4) What would you call them? 5 and 6? (student.11)

The nature of (11)

Perhaps ~~was~~ more the reason, wouldn't you think?

Rather than the nature. Yes, it is the substitutionary atonement, certainly, in 5 and 6.

It's expressed five or six different times in these two verses, the fact that he took our sins upon him, as a result of his suffering we are healed, the tie-up between the humiliation and the redemption, the fact of substitution, the fact of his expiatory nature, that is made very clear in these two verses. They present three-fourths, oh five-sixths of the two verses ~~as~~ is devoted to repetition and reiteration of the theme. That he died on our behalf, to pay for our sins, you have one other idea involved in it, which includes half a verse, what is that idea, Mr. Haffly? (student.12) The last statement the Lord hath ~~of~~ laid on him the iniquity of us all brings out that the Lord had a part in it, but it is the same thing as verse 5, isn't it? He was wounded for our transgressions, the Lord has laid on him the iniquity of us all, he was bruised for our iniquities, It is an important thought, this end of six, which was not mentioned in 5, the Lord's part, but there's not a half a verse really devoted to it, it's just, you might say, brought in. But what is there that really isn't directly presenting the theme of substitutionary atonement within these two verses, what large section of one of them, is not specifically that? (student. 12 B/4) Yes, the first half of verse 6 shows the universal need of such an atonement, that is maybe a fourth or a fifth of the whole thing, the other is the basic thought which is repeated over and over, and yet this is a vital portion of it of course. I don't think ~~we~~ it would interfere with our putting those two together in one definite part for this large a section.

What would you do with --what would your next subject be that's taken up, Mr.