I think either is possible. If you think either is impossible, you can easily accept the other one. We do not have in the Scripture to tell exactly what the full circumstances are where they are not revealed. In fact, it is better that we do not do so. I do not think that correct interpretation of the Scripture means finding an answer to every problem be rause there are many, many problems

encyclopedias to give us all the facts. It is not necessary that we know. It imaximum. It important that we try to figure out what we can, but I think the most important thing is that we find what is taught in the Scripture and also that we find what are the possibilities where we are not given these facts on matters and that we do not say it swas this way when we know it may have been that way. But say that it appears to be most likely this way, but that also seems possible. Now, of course, we can say in certain circumstances that we feel the evidence warrants it. The Scripture does not say it, but it appears to be the max almost certain interpretation. I do not know of any other interpretation that seems to be fitting, and so on. I think that we should say that. I don't think we should say that if the Scripture doesn't say it

people saying that Adam was created in 4004 B. C. There is absolutely no statement in Scripture that Adam was created in 4004 B. C. AMAMMENEE anywhere and there is no means in the Scripture by which anyone can arrive at a figure 4000 B. C. There are many different men who have taken the incomplete chronological data in the Scripture and have tried to fix upon a date and they have fixed upon perhaps a hundred different dates. If you go to the Dropsey college in Philadelphia, the Hebrew College there, you will find on the cener corner stone of the building the year since the creation of the world in which that building was put up according to the reckoning of the Jewish scholars.from the Biblical facts. Ifxxxxx Then on the other side it tells you in our terminology