

enjoy extraordinary privileges are expect extraordinary favors are under corresponding obligations to do the will of God and moreover of the manifestation of God's mercy, whether in time or in eternity, the louder the call to righteousness of light, these clues are of no restrictive applications, but may be applied wherever the relation of a church are chastened people can be recognized. That's Alexander. All the other is Matthew Henry. But I didn't know it before I read it. And then Alexander says, on the verses, "The day is coming when the righteousness of God is to be fully revealed without the veil and shackles had hitherto confined him. Verse 1. Verse 2, this great ~~miraculous~~ chain of light preparation is fidelity to the spirit of the old economy. And verse 3, no personal or national distinction, verses 4, 5, connection with church not longer a matter of hereditary right, verses 6 to 8. The church co-extensive with the world, verse 9, carnal Israel must be abandoned to its enemy. Verses 10-12, its rulers not ready or able to deliver.) In other words, from verse 9 following is quite a difference from ~~man~~ what is before it. (And it follows, with verses 1 and 2, Matthew Henry and Alexander agree with your view and then Matthew Henry agrees with your view on, but Alexander, he takes the view that it is dealing with Israel in that particular situation.) Yes, that is very interesting. Thank you. That's extremely interesting.

Then, I think we can safely say that at verse 9 there is a new thought. And this verse 1-8 I wish I understood more fully than I do yet. There are certain details of it that I would like to understand better. But I think we ought ~~n~~ to go on to the next section. (Just one possible thought, would it be possible for us to ~~h~~ get a little forced interpretation out of the English translation on these verses. For instance, in verse 5 "Even unto them will I give in mine house and within my walls a place". I was wondering about that word, even, in verses 5 and 7. Of course, that is dependent on the ~~word~~).(7 $\frac{1}{2}$).

Yes, the word even is not in the original. The word even is omitted in the Revised Version in 5 but kept in 7. But it equally is a case where the object is inferred. And then God states what He would do in relation to His own. And therefore to bring out that relationship would seem to me not to be too ~~n~~ strong. Those who do so and so, from I will do this. You could bring it out by simply discussing the word ~~word~~ .(8) I feel that the even is merely bringing out what is contained in the context. Now is there any further question on 1-8. Anything further that you think we ought to go into there?