

is not exempt. This is not apart from his influences, but as he lives in close with the Lord, they may have a certain example from it. He has far less of them than when the Lord <sup>literary ?</sup> to punish them or to chastise them. And here the delivering figure fits in very nicely with the watchman or vine. This is to tell you of the evils, of the enemies, of the dangers ahead, and they are all dumb dogs, who can't bark. They are greedy. They never get enough to develop. It would be a wonderful illustration I would think of many a minister in England and in America today, <sup>or 50 years ago</sup> who knowing the Gospel <sup>been</sup> and having taught the Gospel, leading as necessary a religious organization and conduct of the church and having the responsibility of protecting the members of his church <sup>man</sup> from evil influences and giving them the Word of God, was spending his time in his own concerns, seeing a flower show, or taking a part in the rotary club, or interested in a side subject which is not harmful in itself, but which meant he was neglecting the <sup>(6½)</sup> and stressing the importance of the Word of God and to protect his people from the Ungodliness, and as a result is that they let men into the presbytery, one man after another, they let ungodliness come into the church. Their attitude was wrong. They were dumb dogs. I don't think that is the direct meaning of the passage. I think that is pointing out the specific situation in Israel, but it certainly does have a wonderful relevance to our own day, and probably, well, I don't know whether it is included it, or whether it is an example, but at least the principle is very applicable in a general way. You can't protect a nation by putting a big wall about it and ~~h~~ forgetting it. The wall is useful but the important thing isn't the wall but what is behind the wall.

(Student: It has been on my mind for quite a while that Isaiah is the apostle of the Old Testament, who is speaking in the New Testament through this Old Testament form. God is using him there and the things that were about him, not only to his people but <sup>?</sup> ). The principles of the Old Testament are <sup>?</sup> , but the distinct is true, and the distinct are true in the New Testament that are found in the Old, but they are more clearly stressed on. I was talking to someone a few years ago, who made a very strong argument from pacifism <sup>?</sup> , based on the statement of Christ and various New Testament statements. You take Isaiah's statements. You can make a very strong argument, that the Christian should never take part in war. But to my mind, any such argument is utterly doomed from the start, because of the fact that in the Old Testament