in both of these verses is so little very slight that it is hardly a fit at all. Someone said that in the words of the Bible God has given us a situation like a strong, concrete bridge across the river, that is absolutively-solid without a break in it, without a whole in it, absolutely solid and safe but there is a flood the water and comes up and passes over and so you can't see the exact ...but you know it is there and you know it absolutely. There is a very slight possibility of tectual error in any one verse, taa- taken alone. But it is so slight that when you compare scripture with scripture, you can stand absolutely on what you find. So occasionally people ridicule our idea of verbal inspiration and they say what does it mean that the origizanal manuscripts were verbally inspired and we don't have any original. Well, we are mighty close to the original. We are so close that xix if we were ixxx any closer we would be mighty tempted to read into the verses a lot of things that we can't be sure of. Ik think that God just just as a warning to us left that little bit of obscurity there to compare scripture with scripture. Now, sometimes people say I believe the Bible spekaks of k spiritual things, but when it spæaks of scientific things, when it speaks of hsi- historical things, and material things it may be wrong. Well, who is to sude jsu judge, when what is material things, what is historical things, or what is spiritual things. What are scientific things or what is spiritual things. I would k say that the God does not give us the Bible to teach us physics or chemistry or astronomy. He did not even give us the Bible to/give us the full-details-of- physics or chemistry or astronomy, He did not even give us the Bible to give us the full details of the history of Israel. He gave us xbex the Bible to tell about himself, and how man can be saved. But where exver the Bible touches on things it is dependable to whatever extent you can