than we have in the case of any other book. So approve can get much nearer the original in the Bible than we can in any other book that we have from ancient times. But even after you have done that you will find that there are cases where there are two readings, and is it this way or this way, we don't have proof xx which of the two it was. Well, personally, I think that God intentionally left it that way. The divergence in our manuscripts, the gegreat bulk of them, xx are just as important as when we spell honor , honor , wik like we do, or honour, like the English do. The great bulk of it are minor matters of spelling. As few of them affect matters of fact, like for instance, in some of our English manuscripts it says that the angel led Peter out of the prison, and in a few of the manuxeritp-scripts it says that he led k him down I don't think it is going to affect our salvation greatly whiczhever the truth is in that case. And that is the situation in most of the cases where were are not sure. It is some man minxor point like that. We can say maybe there were x ten steps and maybe there werent. And that is the isx situation in most of the cases where we are not sure. It-is some minor point-like-that, and we can-s Butk the re are enough of these cases to give us a warning that God wants us to car compare scripture with scripture and not take one a verse and squeeze it and get everything we possibly can out of that verse. We can take our ancient manuscripts, we can compare them, we can see what the evidence is of variation and when you find a verse where there is no evidence of any variation. All the manuscritp- manuscritps agree. I am willing to say, but maybe there was a miscopying . Maybe there was a mistake mistake in that verse --but if you get me two Verse that verses that give a scripturgal statement, and we don't have any evidence of variation among manuscritps-manuscritps manuscripts, in either of these matters concerned, I will say the changces of there being a mistake