but we have to study them & to know exactly what they mean, and we must written be careful enough not to go beyond what is said there, and not to infer from it that I came through Chicago whe In I may have come by way of Calcutta. We need to see fa- how far the statement goes, when the Bible says that ///'" two disciples" and that we should not says one man and a woman, we are not to say that they were young men nor should we say that they were old men, we should not read and into it something that is not there. So, this has been the attitude of some Christians ... a problem. some statements in the sermons of the apostles, some statements in the books of the New Testament as well as of the Old Testament show that they understood that they looked to God's revelation to us not in the sense of wo4 words that we can forget, but in the have something upon which we sense of things written down in such a way that we/can stand.on-them. people are apt to ask a question, "What Bible do you At this point ... Revised think is inspired? The King James Version or The American Standard Version? " We studyied some about that eys yesterday. The Bible that is inspired is the original Bible in the original languages in which it was written. You cannot translate any book into another language and have it exactly like the original. mark any have a consierable amount of exactness in/translation that is expected. Our translation in the King James Version is a remarkably excellent translation into the language of 300 years ago. But it is not exact. There are

many where a word has a certain **o**rea of meaning, and this word has meanings that overlapp with other areas of other words. Then translators should determine what is referred to in that <del>translation</del> verse within the statement which overlaps. When this word may correspond perfectly in Greek but it may not in another language. In translating certain words, you cannot always say that this word exactly correspond to that word in this language.=

Bee