And if it doesn't mean that, I don't know what it means. Then this is "he who blesseth himself in the earth shall bless himself in the God of truth." He shall bless himself in the earth. Probably we take this as me the whole world, rather than just a planet. And he that swears in the world shall swear by the God of truth. Let's look at verse 16 in the Hebrew. Would you read to us Mr. Elvig? Not simply the God of truth in the sense that what He says is correct but because of truth in the sense that He is the true God. He is the dependable God. He is the faithful God. He is the God of faith. So that him finish fits in, in the great stress of faith. The one who blesses Himself will bless Himself in the God who is dependable. The faithful God. God of those who have faith. Yes?

(Question:  $5\frac{1}{2}$ . What is the logic of the verse? Why does the fact that the former troubles are forgotten, and hid from God, lead us to swear by the God of truth? Quite a range of meaning. Because when if for and because is not necessarily the meaning of it. I think there is something of a causal idea in these statements, but not necessarily a strongly stressed one. So you take it as when. He who blesses himwelf m in the earth will bless himself in the God of truth. He who swears in the earth will swear by the God of truth. When the former troubles are forgotten, and when they are hid from God's eyes. Does that mean that as long as we have these troubles we are not going to swear by the God of truth? While we have difficulties we are going to follow Mohammed. But If God gives us peace and makes everything good then we are going to start following Him. Do you think that would win God's favor, to say that? Mr. Sutton? It seems to me that that is a very, very good suggestion, that the mind refers to God. That God is no longer looking at the former troubles. Therefore that they are going to swear by the God of truth, but is that logic? When God forgets the former troubles, then they are going to swear by the God of truth. As long as God remembers the former troubles we are going to be Mohammedans or Buddhists, but once He forgets them then we are going to start swearing by Him. Is that logic? (Question) 71. Well, I don't think it necessarily means every individual. But it would seem to show a wide distribution of thinking. The note of universality as you suggested is surely entered. That is, it is not just in Palestine, that they are going to swear by the God of truth. But they are going to do it in many places. And that would fit with the spreading of the Gospel wouldn't it. It would fit with the previous verse where you leave your name for a curse