BDB here, <u>pelāta</u>, seems to be used of escape, or deliverance, in two cases. Gen. 45:7 and Jer. 25:35. Elsewhere he says probably always escaped remnant, those who have **ex** escaped. But that's <u>pelāta</u>, this is <u>pelita</u>. Now <u>pelit</u>, is an escaped one, or a fugitive. Oh, this is pelāta which is here, yes. Well, then, there are two instances where it is an escape, but in the most of the cases it is an escaped remnant. Well, that's an interesting passage.

.....brining again the captivity of Juday is absolutely certain, there is going to be a day and a time when it will happen, and those days, in that time it doesn't mean, the days and times necessarily just spoken of. Now I am basing that on the use of the phrase elsewhere, but I think there are many cases where it does not mean the one just spoken of. In some cases it may, but it looks to the future, rather than to the past, that is, it looks to what is about to be said, rather than to what has been said.

SH:....6....reason from the context above, the pouring out of the spirit ",

AAM: ... the question is, to take the asher, in the days when I do this, that I will do so and so, the only question is whether in that case you would have the those, and that quite asp positive as this. It doesn't seem quite maximum say there is something for that. Oh, now that's the way the KJ has it, the way you have it,... $6\frac{1}{2}$ ... In those days, and in that time when I shall bring again the captivity of Judah and Jerusalem I will also gather. And I think there is alot to be said for it in that our English, will come to pass that, is an entirely different that from asher. Is asher ever used in that sense of that? Isn't asher definitely relative, when you say it will come to pass that, it is not a relative. So that looks very much like what you suggested just now. Very much like it. This is introductory to the next verse And that is the way the KJV takes it. It will come to pass in the days, in those days and in that time **mkmax** which I bring again the captivity, it looks a little against it to have those and that quite as prominent, but after all, I think that is what asher ardinarily means. I don't think it ordinarily

64