

nuach. Huh! Does he take mincha from nuach? That seems strange, doesn't it? To rest. I wouldn't think it would go under that.

SH: Under manach....

AAM: under manach? Oh, yes, what's the matter with me. Here it is. Right on the same page, manach, root of the following. Gift, tribute, offering, mincha, noun fem. It doesn't say anything about any masc. $\frac{1}{4}$,....12 $\frac{3}{4}$..., and there are lots of usages, that's a very interesting point.

.....13.....

...that the disaster was terrific already, but with this great number of locusts right on them it will be three times as bad as13 $\frac{1}{4}$

SH: Well, that reflects back on these perfects, if they are perfect, according to the pointing, in v.4, because they are all past. And according to this idea, some of those pasts are true pasts, and the others would be futures.

AAM Yes, well that could very well be. You see, the perfect doesn't express necessarily time, but a situation. It is eaten by these. This is eaten by this. What's left can be has been eaten, can be is being eaten, can be will be eaten, but it shows it as a completed event. You are not looking now in that verse at the worm eating, but at the stuff ~~is~~ disappearing because of the worm eating. So that what you are looking at is the result of the eating, rather than the activity of it, and that's why they $\frac{1}{2}$ perfect.

WA:.....14.....

AAM: Yes, if everything was eaten, there wouldn't be much gain in having....14 $\frac{1}{4}$... I would say a tremendous amount is eaten, but there will be three times as much more14 $\frac{1}{4}$... You can't begin to get rid of it, and then the Lord just drives them off, and that's the end of it.end of 0 6

0 7

.....the locust is such a terrible thing that you don't pay so much attention to the drought, but yet it is there in the heart of it, maybe not enough of a drought to be such a terrible disaster in itself, but a fair disaster.