WA:.... .the heifers have started thier 5立.

AAM: Oh, I see, well now, that is very interesting. Thank you. Well, that is fine because that probably means that the ... started, you say? Well, now that started and stomped would be the same, wouldn't It? Yes, that would be identical. But the mules or the seeds, under their clods. Clods is not a common idea, is it? Well, now, do you have any notes in your Kittel on it? ...Weare here in the situation we are often in when we have a word that we have little light on. WA:...........AAM: Oh, yes, now, a word about the method of it. Here we have a group of rather unfamiliar words. Rather uncommon sux words. Our KJ version for this end of $2: 8$, "when they fall upon the sword they shall not be wounded." Smith says, "when they fall into a stream they shall not sink." Well, your word here isn't really sword. BDB suggest weapon, or missile. Is there another possibility of making it stream, or is there some word similar to it to make stream? Or do they just throw it aside and get stream. Well, the last part, it isn't actually be wounded, it is ....7... cut off, acconding to BDB. Beф cut off? Now you see, it is active, isn't it? yisau, cut off, cut off, cut in pieces, break off, and it is peculiar, it is a very unusual may of saying be monite wounded. It sounds as if it should have an object, $\not$ doesn't it? Well, now that would suggests that maybe he finds a word, to sink, that is vomewhat similar, rather than it is identical. He isn't following the LXX, I wouldn't think. Probably they would show it.
WA:.......if they fall on their weapons they shall/be wounded.

AAM: ...Their weapons. They fall on their weapons, ..... $8 \frac{1}{4} \ldots$ of course it is the question to see how much evidence there is for that break off, or cut off. Is it a common word, or is it quite uncommon......82 $\frac{1}{2}$....does it say sink at all?......... $8 \frac{1}{2}$.

I haven't heard anything about him for a long time. My guess is if he is still living he is pretty old, but Dr. Allis wrote a review of that book, and he tore it to pleces, and showed alot of cases where he thought it was very inaccurate, and alot of cases where he felt there was real modernism

