

.....10..... of a process which had occurred. The serpent, what's the serpent, it is just ~~xxxx~~ one of the animals. But the serpent let ~~xx~~ Satan come in and take control of it, the serpent had become more subtle than any beast of the field. It would show a change in the serpent to make it different from the rest of the animals10¹.....could talk to her, and could mislead her, and so on. I would feel that that is at least a possible interpretation... "somewhat numerous instances in which it occurs in the connecting word between the subject and the participial predicate, and it gives two instances of that. And then he says the imperfect of ~~xxx~~ hayah announces what is future, in Num. 14:33, etc., cf. ~~xxxx~~ 116r. However, especially in the latter case, hayah is not wholly without verbal ~~force~~ force. But comes very near to being a mere copula, and ~~xxxx~~ its use is more frequent in the later book than in the earlier. Well, I mean I hadn't seen any specific instance he's given, here. The imperfect of hayah announces what is future, in Numbers 14:33. But might that not be, and it will come to pass, it will become? If it is future. I mean, if there is no change, it would be present. If it is the same future, present, and past, then you don't need to say it is future. The book will be black in the future, well, if the book isn't black now, you might as well say it will become black. If the book is black, you might as well just say it is black. Time wouldn't affect it. A child will be born, and the child will be blueblooded, well, the child will be born is an action, comes into existence. And then, is blue^y-blooded, you don't need a participle. You won't need a hayah there, would you. I would hardly expect it there. But if you did have one, I would think that it probably would mean that after this child was born, it had a crisis in which its circulation ~~xxx~~.....12...., and it became blue-blooded. If it was really used as a copula, in early books you would think there would be loads of instances, as there are loads of instances of the dynamic use.

WA: But I don't think Gesenius means it was simply a copula, and I don't mean it was simply a copula either....but expresses the state rather than the activity....the idea he sets forth, that it expresses the state rather than