

And Young himself will tell you that in chapter 11 you have remarkably detailed predictions of the events in the lives of maybe ten kings in the Assyrian empire, one after the other, describing these one after the other. Well, if he doesn't call that detailed history, I don't know what detailed history, I don't know what detailed history is. Unless you say you have got to give every detail, and no book's ~~xxxx~~ every been written. So these particular two statements here are written from a prejudice, from a viewpoint, from a bias, they do not stand up to factual evidence, and personally, I wish we could in our theological matters, in our exegetical matters, in our ecclesiastical ~~xxxx~~ matters, I wish we could determine to state facts as they are, and not to let our prejudices and our emotions carry us off into making sweeping statements, and making general statements that simply careful, objective interpretation would not prove. It is so easy to do, and so many fine people do it, and I don't think they advance the cause of Christ by doing it. Well, now, Young has done it in this place. I do not say that he, and those of his viewpoint are any great sinners in this regard, than are people of most viewpoints, but I say, Let's avoid that sort of thing. Let's try to look at actual facts and see what they are. ~~xxxx~~ Well, much prophecy has ~~xxxxxxx~~ obscurity and ambiguity, much prophecy only picks a few important details and leaves out a good many others. Yes. But is it contrary to the genius of prophecy to have some very detailed history? If so, you won't find it ~~xxx~~ in the Scripture. S. R. Driver says it is contrary ~~nt~~ to the genius prophecy to give specific names, when in Isaiah it says, Cyrus will deliver the people, that can't be a prediction made by Isaiah, because that would be contrary to the genius of prophecy. Driver says, Of course, there can be prophecy. Prophecy can predict things in great detail, he would say, but it is contrary to the genius of prophecy, Driver would say, to predict things with so much detail as Daniel 11, or to predict the precise name like in Isaiah 53. Therefore, we must conclude there is a second Isaiah who wrote at the time of Cyrus, and knew it, because it is contrary to the genius of prophecy to predict it. Well is it? Cyrus is predicted 150 years in advance by name, Josiah is predicted by name 300 years in advance.