

quite large in denomination, for a Roman Catholic country. One of these two workd closely with the Southern Baptist missionaries, the other will have nothing to do with Southern Baptist missionaries at all. They are rather against missionaries in general, but particularly against Southern/ Baptist missionaries, although they themselves are Baptists. And these two are parts of one big Baptist church. And the seminary there, in which the missionaries teach is controlled by this big Baptists church so that the board includes men elected by the part of the church that has no use for Southern Baptist missionaries. They are ab~~so~~lutely ~~not~~ united in doctrine, but they are divided over the matter of whether a missionary should have any say over the church, and some of them are so disgusted that they won't let e the missionaries come near their churches. While the other half ~~of~~ of them think ~~that~~ that the missionaries are very fine people and a very valuable help to them. And that is a tendency. A missionary can't simply be a boss, when a church is small he has to use a good deal of tact and a good deal of discretion in dealing with them, and when it gets large, he absolutely has to, or he won't get very far. At least in our ~~protestant~~ protestant missionary work.

Well, now what was the situation of the apostles? I don't gather from Paul's epistles to the Corinthians that they were ready to say immediately, he says it we adopt it. He argues pretty strongly for his views. I think that in the end they would accept it. But he had to convince them. Well, this is not ~~particularly~~ particularly important for us to discuss today, because we have no official today that is called an apostle. ~~Actually~~ Actually, if the prolatical churches, if the Roman Catholic church ~~is~~ is to get any Scriputral authority for its power, it has to get it from the apostlesx Because there is no Biblical evidence of bishops ever having any such power, as the Roman Cathôlic Church claims for its bishops, including the Bishop of Rome. If they have any basis for such, it would have to be on the claim~~that~~ that the power of the apostles continues. But there is no N.T. evidence for the power of the apostles, to be anything like the power which the bishops claim to have in the Roman Catholic Church.