calls Jesus the shepherd, the bishop. The xkpxhxx shepherd and the bishop of
your sould, He is called. Phil.k 1:1 xxxxx is our only reference to bishops
xhxxxixxxdyxxxxxx as people who already were bishops. As already had a position
and who were xixxixx called by the title and named in the English Bible.
Phil. 1:1, with the bishops, and deacons. Acts 20:28, the writer says, the
church over which the Holy Ghost has made you overseers. Now from the view
point of translation, we hxxxxqxixxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx ask right away, of course,
why on earth will you translate it four times bishop and a fifth time overseer.
If it means bishoip the four times, why doesn't it mean bishop the fifith
time.   Now, of course, that is just a question of translation. And opinion
can vary on translation. There are cases where there may be many opinions, but
in this particular case I must say the grounds of making a different translation
seem to me practically non-existent. Because he is talking about officers in
the church. Which is the way x the word is xx used elsewhere. And here
where it is used of officers in the church, why shouldn't it be translated the
same way.

(question) Well, I would say this, the Greek word episcopus the N.T.
shows was clearly used for officials, certain officials in the church. Then
we find as time goes on, we can trace right through Christian history, there
have always been bishops who have been called by the Greek name episcopus.
We can fixx find that. Well, then, when you are translating in the Bible a
title, which the Greek word was used and has been used ever since, and the
word bishop is the same word, it simply is an xxgxixxxxxgxixxxxdxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xxdxthxxxxxdxbixhxp anglicization of it. Under those circumstances, should
you transliterate. Why, for xxxxxxxx instance, Christ. What is Christ. It
is a Greek word, aanointed. Should we say the annointed one. Should we say
Christ. Well, there might be an xxgxxxxxx argument made for not calling
Him Christ, and calling Him the annointed one. But since the term has been
used, there is a big arguemtn for keeping it. So that I really question whether
we can object to keeping the word bishop. It does seem to me that if we are
going to keep it, we should keep it in all cases. Why then in four and omitted