appointed **xpxxxix** apostle to the Gentiles, and the thing that to me that is strong **in** is the fact that I do not think that the Holy Spirit would tell us of this important event at the beginning of the Christian church and say nothing more. If he meant us to consider....(14) James, who he was is highly....(14) I think **Mxx**.....I think that you can say that the Bible records historical events, but the Bible is not simply a record of **MXXXX** historical events. The Bible is a Book which the Holy Spirit has given us for our direction and for our education. And therefore, it is entirely possible that it may simply record historical events.....(end of record) Recorged 18

And I would feel that when an important point in the founding of the church, a vital occurence is described in the Scriptures, that in that vital occurence human beings may have erred in some details. But if they err in a major point in connection with it, I believe the Holy Sp rit would either have left out the mention wfx from the Scriputres, or would have given us a clear evidence that it was mistaken/ I think we are just in reaching that conclusion. Now Paul on Mars Hill preached to the Greeks, and then he went down to Corinth and he wrote a **itter** is the Corinthians and he said that I determined among you to know nothing save Christ and Him crucified. And there are those who say that Paul made a great mistake in using philosophy on Mars Hill speaking to he Greeks. He should not have domenxing done this. HEXENEXIX Well, when you find an address of Paul's taking several lines, in several verses in the NT., and each of these filled with quotations from hi the O.T., you find this given as an important xpeax speech of an important apostle on an important occasion, and xxxx if the apostle was in error in what he did, I believe the Holy Spir t would say so. I believe that it would be definitely stated. Ι think we are utterly wrong in picking and choosing among what they did on what vital points. But that doesn't mean but what they might have erred in minor points and it simply was recorded historically. But I do not think that we can say that as the t nature of \dots $(2\frac{1}{2})$

Well, now, I do not want to get far afield from our present question, or

77