would certainly beaxxxx be seen to be far less criticized. Than for one whoxx who believes in Christ truly, and ; believes in the Bible, to take an attitude of utter indifference to the question as to whether all Christian should be unite in one organization.

I would say that the burden of po proof must lie w upon those who do not believe whatx that all Christians should be united in one organization. I would say that it is up to us, if we believe the Bible, that Jesus Christ is the head of the church. Tix To believe the thingsthe Bible says about the church being the brids of Christ, the church being the body of Christ, the church being united in Christ, I wouldsay that it is up to us to have a definite clear rassnawkyxx reason why it is not a necessary that this amakax church of two true Christians be united in one organization, either to have such a clear thought out understanding for it, or else to be devoting t ourselves wholeheartedly and earnestly to efforts to bring the church together into one such organization. I say that one of the two is necessary. Thexxxxxxxx sad thing is that most Christians, I fear, have not thought about this question. They have not, they do not either have a definite clear understanding of the reason why it should not be necessry that the church be united in one organization, they either don't have that, or else they are indifferently looking at discussion of it and paying no attention to it. I beitx belong to this particular denomination, my parents belonged to this, this is the way it has been, how long has it been that way, now? And even if it, certainly now for four hundred years, and if it had been that way for four thousand years, would that make it right.

If you are a Christian you are interested in what the Bible teaches, and the Bible talks about the church. Well then, why are not you working hard to have there a be that organization which can be called the church. Now of course we today are very much against what is known as the ecumenical movement. The reason that we are against the ecumenical movement is the because the leaders of the ecumenical movement are men whose definition of a Christian is anybody who wants to use the term of Christ. That is their definition. They are not men