Calvin had advised. But in England a system of bishops was retained. Then we have in England a development of the Church of England, which is one of the queerest combinations the world has ever seen. It is typically English. It is English to hold to old forms, even if you change their meanings to something entirely different. It is the gradual change which is characteristic of England's development. And it t has had a great element of strength with Nowhere do we find this more than in the Exim: Church of England, it. from any viewpoint for theory, the Church of England is about as far away from what a ch. govt. should be. That is to say, in the Church of England, you have all the forms of despotism, with none whatever p of the reality. The result is, you have neither a despotism or a democracy. xX@xxkaxexaxesubinate You have a combination of all sorts of queer separate systemes. It game, of course, because Queen Elizabeth insisted t on the maintenance of the bishops system, and the forms and ceremonies and all that, and wanted uniformity in the type of worship used and in the prayer book and everything. And many of the Puritans had to drop out, but then King Charles tried to get such a uniform control that the tyranny of it disgusted large portions of the English people and he carried it to such an extreme that in the end, when the English won their freedom from King Charles, without changing any of the frars forms of the church, they established such faith guards among them as to rnder them quite useless. And so, in the EXXEX Church of England, now, you have archbishops, who theoretically have all the program power in the world, and the Hshops, and the rulers of the different churches in the different areas, but actually their power is practically nil. The archbishop of Canterbury, statut standing for the truth of Scriptures can see the bishop of Burmingham denying there is anything in the ^Bible that is worth believing, or any such thing as the deity of Christ, and he can culminate against the terrible things that the bishop of Burmingham says and can't touch him, can't interfere with his power in the slightest. And in this country, the Episcopal Church is much the same way. And orthodox bishop of New York, a few years ago, would talk against the evil,s of modernism, but one of his own churches in Manhattan

33