

two equal pastors. It is very dangerous. In ~~xxxx~~ actual working out, it rarely works. It is usually very very good to have things quite explicit and to know who is the man who is the leader, because you get two men of ~~xx~~ equal ~~authorities~~ authority and some favor this one, and some favor that one, and you have ~~xx~~ dissent and trouble almost invariably. It has been the experience of the church that it rarely indeed can you have an organization with two co-equals. It is the experience of our modern corporations that practically every one of them has a president who is ~~x~~ in control as ~~x~~ long as he is president, ~~xxxx~~ and the directors back him up and support him in what he does if they ~~xxxx~~ like it, and if they don't they fire him and get somebody else they can back up and support. But they don't try to run things ~~xxxx~~ as a group.

In recent years in American corporations, the custom has developed more and more of having a chairman of the board, which is a higher position than the president is. And usually a president retires to the ~~president~~ position of ~~chairman of the board~~ chairman of the board. But the chairman of the board does not try and rule, to administer. He gives his attention and interest to policy. And the president has the actual administration. But it is a rule of administration that authority must be pretty well centralized in an individual who can be held responsible and watch, and when three or four have the duty of doing a thing it is pretty ~~apt~~ apt not to get done. It is pretty easy to find excuses and blame the others.

In our mission down in Peru, we had four or five families. ^And these ~~xxxx~~ missionaries had an area there which they were trying to administer. And they would get together and they would decide who was going to ~~xxxx~~ conduct the service ~~xxxx~~ in Niacucha next Sunday. Who is going to try to open up a new field down here, who is going to take care of this particular need in the town here, and they were discussing and planning how to do the work for several years, and the work was going forward, but none of them was satisfied. They weren't going forward as fast as they should. And somebody hit on the idea of saying, let us divide ~~up~~ up the work and have specific responsibilities and they made an arrangement whereby one of them had the responsibility