functions which no N.T. bishop ever had. And if you are going to find any N.T. evidence of any such functions, you will find it in the apostles. But these churches do not claim that the apostleship is continued, they do not use the word, they call themselves bishops. That is a very interesting fact.

Well, now, that was the third point. Now I went on to start talking about g, but I don't like to confuse your notes by going back and inserting here, but I do think that it is desriable at this point to do so. I am sorry that X I overlooked that. I had it on another sheet, here, which I may have passed over and went to the one which had g on it. So, let us put it in. It certainly ought, for completeness, under f, be included. 4 is the various local churches/seem to have been knit together in one body. But full details of their relationship to one another are nt not clearly stated. This is similar to the points which we have had before. The N.T. does not give us a set of rules not church govt. Ch/govt. is clearly, in the N.T., not a thing set down and established and given us of God, it is a thing which is a growth applying to certain circumstances and conditions, and God gives us principles to apply. And one should apply them to conditions as they change. But this is a prixmixx principle which is quite clear in the N.T. That the various local churches seem to have been knit together in one body.