

If we think that of the last two lines of the three, what about the first one--is that not Israel also? We have the description of the wonderful work that the Servant is going to do, who like Israel is going to be humiliated--it is not entered into as to the sin. But if the suffering would be similar, it would be a striking thing to refer to the sin-question. Let us look at the Hebrew--Isa. 52:14. There is a big difference between the word amazed and astounded. I would be surprised if Washington should come in the door--the two words are entirely different. The word amazed usually expresses joy rather than sorrow. Appalled and astounded are very very different. "Astounded" is the word which we use.

# 231 -- His use of the word seems to be right directly in comparison and the English have taken the word go which begins the second phrase and the Hebrew puts it right at the beginning of the second phrase. The participle would not necessarily come at the beginning of the phrase. If it just happens to be there then that is the order and just as many were astounded and appaled then it is a comparison and then when you look at the English and you see that many were astounded and the idea would be much better brought out and it has been dealing with Israel's problem and we don't see how Israel can do the work of the servant of the Lord and that is why Israel is concerned that the work of the servant may be done and then we say of the servant, "Israel, you have been humiliated and the servant is going to be humiliated likewise." A great contrast is compared between the terms of the conditions and when you take it as the English translation has it, then it is a peculiar way to talk about the servant. All the rest of the chap. to ~~call~~ it He. It is certainly reasonable to think of thee as a different meaning and when it has been used many other times and in the same ch. it seems easy to take it that way and then add to it and it is directly presenting a comparison. Ques. There is a comparison in the idea of aman being lead to the scourging, wearing a crown of thorns, etc. It is certainly not what man should receive normally and also not what the servant of the Lord should receive. Israel is still man and it appears to not be a nation and that is the case unless it disintegrates like all the others. It appears that Israel was done for but Israel is not done for and Israel is going to go back from that condition and as Israel is marred and humiliated, likewise He is to be marred and humiliated. There is a very reasonable comparison and it says the work of the servant of the Lord also includes suffering and it is fully comparable to the suffering of Israel and not a suffering for any