18 c42s

they had in Christianity in the Western section in Asia and even in some extend reaching into Eastern A_s ia in the middle ages. Now we come back to the Roman empire again. Number 6) six Rise of monophysitism I have already given lyou the name for it as Eutichianism, this is is one of those unfortumate

where two different names come to be given to the same movement and one has not completely conquered the other but we only use the one. quite a few cases like that in history and science but #ph/ph//hse/the/phe/ where you have two different names which equally well reprenest the same thing and yet which do not intrinsingtly have any relation to each other so we have to learn both of them. Now ; in this case, monophysitism is probabby is the better name because it tells us what it is. Nestorianism tells us nothing what that view was , monophysitism does, Eutichyism was the name more commonly use then because it is quite common to take & person's name that is connected with it just as we take Arianism and Nestorianism , Monophysitism was the reaction agaisnt N storianism , and that is common in the history as evil andtherefore you go to the opposite extreme and which is just as evil if not more so . In anything in life it is necessary that we find the correct balance. that we find the truth that the Lord whshes us to take in our attittude toward it, on anything it is possible to take such & to disregard it to the point where it is harmful and wrong orpossible to carry it so so an extreme that injury is done on most right things of course an extrme is bette but it would still better to find the right point of balance in between the two extremes. Now in this case it is not this wasy. In this case you might say it is like heating a room , suspose we have no heat in it and it is freezing and just below zero would not be satisfactory for carrying on a class. There fore we say tran turn on the heat full blast and pretty soon it gets up to 150 well if you have to have one of the two the freezing is better, but you would not have to want to choose between the two, etther of t them is is utterly woong , it is the area in between which is the right area for human life. Now here the question is what is the right attitude toward the person of Christ? And the right attitude is to consider him as fully God