

It awaits a further fulfillment. Then they would find in this war that the United States armies came and they went as far east as the Elbe and when they got to it they stopped and waited until the Russians had had time to get in Berlin, and they stopped at the borders of Jugo-Slavia and they very politely let the Russians take the rest of Europe. They did not overrun all of Europe this time. Therefore, if such a prophecy had been made to Columbus, you would say: "That prophecy has not been fulfilled as yet." But if a statement had been made to Columbus like this: "From this land to which you are going armies will come, or from time to time an army will come, and wreak great havoc in the land of Europe", you would say: "Well, surely, there is a prophet, there is a which finds a beginning in 1917, finds a continuance in 1943 and probably will be still further continued at some future day." You will say: "Here is ^a process ~~is~~ of things, mentioned in one prediction of a continuing series of events, of similar events. Now, it is very important when we see a prediction to ask of it: "Do we have a prediction of a specific event--one thing which is going to happen and when it happens it is done, or do we have a prediction of a series of events which are going to come and . Either of those is a valid interpretation of prophecy. Now, there is what I consider an utterly invalid and erroneous interpretation. That is, making one as referring to two unrelated events of different types, happening at different times. That, I think, is utterly erroneous interpretation. That is what is often called double interpretation, taking one thing as referring to two unrelated events. It is altogether valid to consider a prediction as being a prediction as being a prediction of one specific thing or a prediction of a series of things but two unrelated events is something which I find no warrant in Scripture or in the commentaries for. Now, in this case in the light of the context the question is What is going to happen after Moses goes--how will the people know God's will? And the whole context seems to be a statement that God is going to continue talking to them through prophets. The word prophet, however, is used in the singular as evidently indicating the coming of prophets