

you find a passage which is difficult to made sense of, according to an interpretation which is reasonable to an ordinary student, in line with their general attitude, which is difficult to make clear and which (2 1/4)

in the light of N.T. truth, that's a wonderful evidence of the O.T. prediction

(2 1/4) But is this in that category. Or is it not? Of course (2 1/4)

the N.T. idea

stu: You pretty definitely have ~~adjustment in~~ a judgment there in that 7th word, let him, not that he will. ^{it's} It means a hithphael and it's pointed definitely (2 1/2)

MacR: Yes, and what about your word ~~oe~~ "or"? What is that word?

stu: o aleph o.

MacR: Yes, now I wonder if--

stu: Do we have this figure anywhere else, speaking, hold of (2 3/4)

MacR: That's a good question, too. We have a lot of (2 3/4)

upon this verse which investigated, before we can be very sure of its full meaning, but if it is a gospel (3)

that's a very interesting verse, isn't it, if it is. Now we don't want to assume it is till we have clear evidence. But if it is, but certainly at first sight, to me that

(3 1/4) has a lot ~~oe~~ to commend it.

stu: Why should the Lord say then that he would cut them down and burn them ~~if~~ if they were against him in battle?

MacR: Yes, that is, there are times when he is against them in battle and he treads them down, but there are other times when he doesn't.

stu: There are two possibilities to the (3 1/2) in other words. They can oppose God or (3 1/2)

MacR: Yes, they can oppose and/ be eventually trampled under foot, or they