

Student: Chapter 24, verses 6, 7, and 8. 25.

MacRae: And what are the tenses?

Student: Well, all of them can be either perfect, they're all perfect (unclear (7 1/4)

but they can

be taken either way.

Yes.

(7 1/2)

MacR: Yes, and so the Revised Version translation is (7 1/2)

Student: Well, is there a problem of the sequence involved there? Don't you have to start with the imperfect somewhere to follow with the perfect? Is that necessarily hard and fast?

MacR: What is it in verse 5? Shall be brought low. What tense is it there?

Stu: (8 1/4)

MacR: Yes, so you have an imperfect in verse 5. That (8 1/4) could very well follow and of course the old idea is that the wow is ~~con~~versive, the change from perfect to imperfect or imperfect to perfect in Driver's early edition to the Hebrew text which we call the Wow conversive. Then they changed the title to the Wow consecutive on the theory that, after you already have an imperfect, it may be followed by a wow with a perfect, or vice versa. And therefore that it is consecutive, it is conversive because it follows the other. Now that had certain implications, in the interpretations of certain passages. Dr. Buswell, Dr. Robert Dick Wilson, was very much against that. He insisted, he said that plain is converse, when you call it consecutive you are introducing a theory which he said in certain cases involves real problems.

Now I think it could probably take further thought, further investigation. Does the wow conversive have to follow a (9 1/4)