ar "Duke":

It was a real pleasure to get your letter last week and to know that you had received the long essay that I sent you explaining why it wseems to me that the more natural interpretation of the Scripture is to consider the days of Genesis 1 as long periods of time rather than as 24-hour days. A

I hope that you had a grand time on your trip to California.

Thank you for sending me the copy of the negative side of the debate between Dr. Rimmer and Dr. Riley. I wish I could have been present, It must have been a very interesting occasion. As I read it carefully a few things stood out in my mind. Perhaps you will be interested in my reactions.

The first thing that I noticed was the attitude that Dr. Rimmer took on the first page. He evidently had been much pleased with the witty remarks of Dr. Riley, and set out to answer in kind. He asserted that it was easy to have witty remarks when one was not dealing with an ameny of the faith, but simply comparing two views that were equally acceptable to true Christians. In fact he went so far as to say that even if the audience were to vote that they felt that he was the winner in this debate with Dr. Riley, he still would consider it entirely possible that in the end it might prove that Dr. Riley had been right and he wrong on the matter. In other words he did not consider this asya difference of opinion that was vital as between true believers but simply an interesting matter.

statements that he made at the beginning of his talk. Is he refers constantly how sure he is that his opponent will be converted by what he has said And as he makes extremely dogmatic statements about the absolute certainty of the correctness of his views. I can just imagine him turning with a smile to Dr. Riley and putting, a tone on his voice that would say here is an extreme statement for you to know ck at. It is fun to examine these things Each time that he delays that Annaly 3 paid.