AUTHOR.....

A. A. MacRae

This guide for 25 lines double-space

Elite 48

Pica 48

for

margin limit

been devoted to this effort.

The naturalistic explanations of the pro-3 phetic books have been made from a variety of 4 different and sometimes contradictory viewpoints. 5 While the interpreter of the prophetic books 6 must always keep in mind the inherent difficulty 7 of conveying divine thoughts to finite, fallible 8 and sinful human beings, there is no solid basis 9 for denying the simple teaching of the Bible 10 that God spoke to the prophets and that the 11 prophets passed on His messages.

12 B. Denial that Biblical Prophets have been 13 Able to Predict the Future. One of the first to 14 try to explain the prophetic movement from a 15 naturalistic basis was Celsus, a writer who 16 attacked Christianity in the 2nd cent. A. D. 17 Celsus denied that the prophets had truly pre-18 dicted the future. He dealt particularly with 19 the book of Daniel, claiming that its rather detailed predictions of Alexander the Great and his successors were not actually written until after the events had occurred, so that the alleged predictions were really based upon a later knowledge of what had actually happened.

This attitude toward the prophetic predictions

64 of this article

Copy Page
litustration(s)
Length
Do not write in this space

23