```
17.1-8 closely related to E(Albrght
             scholarly agreement on scope of J & E
                                                                                  5.2-363 re theories about strata in
             never been reached
             Jacob's blessing(Gen 49) not in J (V Rad)
             contra. all
                                                                                12.3-5 characteristics of (Buck)
5.2-383 anthromoporphic terms in
12.6-43 terms in (Dentan)
  6.2-9
             no doubt of its lit. unity. Rejects two-
             J-source theory (Winnett)
  6.2-9
             theory of two J sources not widely accept.
 6.2-10 date of J in Gen. 1-11 (Winnett, Morganstern)
6.5-2' existence doubted by some
6.5-3b' not sure Gen. 47.13-36 is J
 6.5-2 not sure Gen 47.13-36 is
6.5-6 may embody P material
6.7-1 contrasted with S
12.01
            style of
             marks of, (Fohrer)
12.1-6
12.3-3 disagreement over 12.6-3 J tangled with P
             disagreement over E & J
14.02
             J - an advanced relig. thinker
14.026
             less weight given to J's place
14.6
             in evol. of relig. (GWA)
P based on J (Simpson)

17.1-1 dependant of earlier E (Muilenburg)

17.2-11 profound differences within it (Speiser)

17.2-20 fractional addition to, flimsy

17.2-22 only story work in Gen (Voltz)

13.1-75 in Deut.

5.4-2 described (Cath. Encyl.)
  5.2-31 re J1 & J2
   5.2-32 current criticism follows V Rad 5.2-32 J's method in writing
   5.2-327 anthropomorphic ideas
  J EARLIER THAN E
  6.1-2 Fohrer
  6.5-3 Priority over E questioned by
  Noth, Pfeiffer 6.5-2b question by Kuhl
```

J and "two-edition" view

JAEGER, WERNER

3.41-51 - 58 Paideia(Highet) 3.45-2

JEFFERY, LILIAN

3.42-77 writing in Homer