EGYPTIAN

13.02⁸ literature, dating of

ELCHRODT

14.3-5³ named as critic of evol. historicism
14.5-6 Fosdick's book "obituary"
3.21-4 quoted by Albright
3.21-4 "tyranny of historicism" cf.6.5-19²
JBL, June, 1946
5.7-7² produced a theology of the 0.T.(Bright)
6.5-19 opposes developmental theory
6.5-19 holds view in opp. to W on ark
6.5-19 holds view in opp. to W on ark
6.5-20' distinctive character of Israel's

EISSFELDT, O.

6.7-3	L	docu	ment					
7.053	ue	eof	argument	fr	om Di	vine	Names	
7.143	11	n	n		11	11	11	
7.15	11	11	11	11			n	
9.019	11	11	11	11	cont	inuor	s document	a
9.035,8	11	11	n	11		11	II II	
9.06	11	11	11	11		11	11	
9.075	11	17	11	11			u	
10.07,08	pat	rall	el accou	nts	cited	ł		
10.95-2*	alleged discrepancies							
14.04 "	fault found with deveolpment theory							
6	of	Wel	lhausen					
17.2-22	his	s an	alysis r	eact	ted as	ains	t by Voltz	
13.1-7'-4 9.03-1	000	Done						
9.03-1	nai	rat	ive threa	ad F	•			
3.17-16	da	te o	f Deut.	32(1	Albri	ght)		

ELOHIST DOCUMENT

. 5	
6.1-22	Northern Kingdom
6.2-1	strand continue beyond Num. (?)
h 2-1	very existence questioned but not widely
6.2-1b ³	" by Volz, Rudolph
6 2 21-3	where begin?
6.2-5 4	origination of, disputed (Fohrer)
6.5-2b ³	uncertainty on date (Kuhl)
6.5-2b'	separation of difficult(Bentzen)
9.053	continuous narrative(?) Beyerlin
12.01	style of
12.3-1	impossible to separate from J (Meek)
12.3-2	difficult to distinguish from J
12.3-3	disagreement over J and E
14.07 2	begins with Gen. 15 or 20
14.073	less anthropomorphic
5.2-6	characteristics of (Von Rad)
5.2-63	more archaic, tho laterindate (VR)
5.2-63	cannot have begun at Gen. 15.1(Von Red)
5.2-64	can be discerned right thro from Gen. to Deut.