IV Examination of Arguments for the Theory as Presented in Recent Books
5.1 Claim that it is the result of 200 years of research
5.4 Tremendous variety of views held before 1878 G-W theory very different from previous views
5.55 Actually worked out by a very small group of scholars
5.57 Not accepted by most of the older scholars
5.59 Taken over without change by the younger scholars
5.6 Reason for wide rapid acceptance Wellhausen's theory of development of religion
5.7 Fit spirit of times but now generally abandoned or ignored
6.1 Claim that Theory represents consensus of opinion of all trained scholars
6.2 Critical scholars do <u>not</u> all agree
6.3 Most of older scholars never accepted it
6.4 Today Scandinavian critics reject it completely
6.5 Critical scholars express doubt, suggest reservations or revisions
6.7 Pfeiffer's S Eissfeldt's L 6.11 Dogmatic statements
V Examination of the original literary arguments, as now presented
7. Argument from use of Divine Names
7.3 Why the Argument is not valid
7.34 Analogies in other writings & in other parts of the Bible
7.4 Unreasonableness of Wellhausen's rearrangement
7.5 Origin of name YHWH
7.50 Discussion of Ex 3.14; 6.3
7.6 False impression failure to continue alteration similar to Gn 1 and 2
7.9 The criterion of no use after Ex 6 anyway