

against some of the extremes of the Well° school, largely on the part of men who have been working in OT° archaeology. As they have discovered (uncovered) point after point at which the evidence of archaeology in Palestine or ~~in other~~ Mesopotamian ~~lands~~ fits with statements of the Bible and does not fit with the Bible as reconstructed by the H C m°, these men have tended to regard more and more sections of the OT° as representing historic fact. Yet most of them still maintain the essential points of the Well° system, and though they may ^{assert} insist that Well°'s idea of history and of religion was ^{never incorrect, yet} in error, they still declared that the books of the Pent° were not written by Moses or by any individual authors, but represent a composite production made by the interweaving of contradictory documents that came into existence over a long period of time.

In Scan° there is a group of scholars, known as the Uppsala school, which attacks the entire Well° theory, but which itself presents a view that is, if possible, ~~even~~ even more inimical to Chn° truth. According to the views of Engnell and the Uppsala school, very little, if any, of the Pent° was written down until after the time of the exile, and it all came into existence from short sections which ^{had} ^{been} gradually ^{developed} through oral transmission, from ^{purely} ^{human} ^{ideas} ^{of} ^{various} ^{individuals}.

Skilful propaganda in universities, seminaries, Sunday schools, and even in the High Schools has convinced great numbers of our educated classes that the H C m° is true, but most of the people actually know little about it.