The continued application of these methods to the Bible, despite their practical abandonment in other fields of literary study, is all the The word, Gospel, in the New Terrament means the proclammy top of the Shririan stranger since in it is precisely in the Biblical field that we are

.wastdaak To Jageo).odl

respage by word offenuth. In reference, to writings the confinence first at . Austin's apology. F. Oft where he says what "the recollections of the apositer" factual material is now available than ever before. This x is the are called Cospets. The way Justin uses the expression shows that it was not. result of the investigations of archaeology. During the past hundred be such attended the word, so it must have been in general use, before, his : ime, years a whole new world has risen arisen from the dust as a result of the that is before the middle officher second century A.Dr. But is who titles of our work of talented (bw) expert? excamators in Egypt, Cospela, the gord Cospel implies a wage in its original Christian sense which Mesopo, Palestine and other portions areas regions full of the Near East. At point after point where Ribaix Biblical statements had be meant ad unrighted authors. If they had only referred to the oral proclams been considered by the critics to be purely imaginary, evidence has come complete for the statements to light actual objects or long buried writings/have come to light. that fit exactly with the Biblical statements, and of the lies tery at the statements of the lies to the west approach resolutely shut their eyes to these matters and similarly expressed and must therefore have been written at the same time, ten maintain that most of the Biblical contents represent mythical developments probably when they were put together as a collection of Haly Estiptures. The or products of human imagination. Most, xhweex however, try to fit the information in the tigles must corress real knowledge of the authorsists, forarchaeological discoveries into their research into their own Agir had been arbitrarily decreed to call these books by the names of historic theories and thus are faced with an impossible task. The documentary theory (caps?) persons, the names given to the three fires Gospels would have been names of ix tends to be become bent and twisted to fit archaeological tystoppre well-kepun Apostica. Natthew never played a prominent pans burne tie discoveries, until the now point is reached where it is hard to see how it can survive. Among Between the documentary theorists who accept archaeological evidence where it applies, and those who try to explain * it away,

severe tensions develop. Note, war for instance, the strong arguments that have emerged between the school of Albright, Bright, Wright, and that of April, Noth one was here. Accepted by the school of Albright, Bright, Wright, and that of April, Noth one was here. Accepted by the school of April, Bright, Wright, and that of April, Noth one was here. Archaeology has presented the evidence that could kill the documentary load mentions the Aparle John as its writer. theories, if properly applied, but many refuse to apply it.

. Tradition. The oldest statement that Matchew is the puthor of a corpol is to be found in Adplac. (Rusebius III, 39:17): 'Matthew whole down ite words in Hebrew, and each translated as well as he could". The word, f C . . . The could