read the lessons, the Litany, or preach the sermon, and many other things of the kind. How many more serious questions must naturally have arisen concerning the ritual of sacrifice, involving, as it did, so much manual work! These changs were when religious worship had become westeralized at Jerusalem they would probably be weathed authoritatively by the body of priests, who are likely to have followed in the main the wastimes of the old sanctuary of Jerusalem. (7) But when the line of tradition was broken by the fix he the need would have arisen for more elaborate directions, and we do actually find the property framing a sort of manual of ritual, though in some respects ideal and visionary (characteristics). (8) But the troubles and disturbances which had owed upon the Restoration must have made at difficult to the Restoration must have made it difficult to establish any complete system of worship, and we do not hear of any complete religious organization till the time of Ezra. It would probably then be near the truth to say that P is the result of the religious movement which began with Exched in Babylon, and found its completion with Exched in Babylon, and found its completion with Exched in Just as the book of the Law found in the house of J' in Josiah's reign was D, or the conclusion of D, so it is likely that the law book read by Ezra, Neh S, was P, or the essential part of P. It is important to observe that the legal ordinances referred to in Neh are to be found in P rather than in D; for example, the seatom of dwelling in in D; for example, the contour of dwelling in booths, 8¹³⁻¹⁸.

3. JE. The Jahwistic and Blehistic Sources.—
A. When we have taken away from the Hexateuch all the passages which can with a fair degree of probability be assigned to D or P, we find that the remainder forms a fairly complete and homogeneous whole, giving us, by a succession of narratives more or less connected, an outline of Jewish history from the Creation to the Settlement in Palestine, in fact covering, speaking generally, the same ground as P. This remainder we might have regarded as one literary source, were it not that a difference of authorship is discovered by the use of Elohim and J" in Gn-Ex 3 (see by the use of *Elohim* and J'' in Gn-Ex 3 (see above, ii. D), which enables us to distinguish at once a certain number of sections as belonging to J and E respectively. Thus to J belong $2^{3b} - 4^{3b} - 5^{3b} = 6^{1-4} - 7 - 8$ (ptly.) $9^{2b-2t} \cdot 10^{2b-19} \cdot 11^{1-9} \cdot 12^{1-4a} \cdot 6^{-30} \cdot 13^{1-5}$. $6^{1-14a \cdot 12b-18} \cdot 15$ (with some mixture perhaps of E), $16^{1b-2} \cdot 4^{-14} \cdot 18$. $19^{1-2a} \cdot 22^{200-34}$ (unless the insertion of a reviser) $24 \cdot 28^{13-2a} \cdot 28^{200-34}$ (unless the insertion of a reviser) $24 \cdot 28^{13-2a} \cdot 38 \cdot 39$. To E we may with equal certainty assign $20^{1-17} \cdot 21^{6-2a} \cdot 22^{1-1a} \cdot 28^{16-15} \cdot 17-2a \cdot 31^{4-17a} \cdot 19^{1-4} \cdot 31^{24} \cdot 32^{24} \cdot 32^$ name of God seldom occurs, or the names at first sight seem used indifferently, the sections being in the latter case generally compounded, as a close examination shows of both sources. After Exodus, though we can readily see that both sources still continue, the distinction becomes more difficult, because though E salike P, still uses the cult, because though R. sunice P, still uses the name Elohim sometimes I's is more generally used; but even here the sectional use of Elohim is often helpful in discovering E sections. The mixture of divine names in the Ex 3 sometimes mixture of divine names in the Kx 3 sometimes arises from the fact that E property used the name J" and vice versa. Thus F of versative used the name J" in Ex 3¹⁴ itself, but also is the last, where the name has a peculiar emphasis, the point being that Jacob promises to worship his country's God even in a strange land; the name is, however, and the property of sometimes assigned to a reviser. This is probably the case also with Gn 2214 unless it be actually a Jahwistic passage inserted in the F-tory. On the other hand, Etohim is sometimes used by J: (1)

Seth, when seen is good to call the line same of J' (Gn 426), and by the same of (Cin 27 of also). (2) When emphasis and the call a statement nature, especially in contrast to the separation of J was described and the separation of J was a separation of J was

and those especially in which the second of these as for any of the reasons given and those especially in which the form of the reasons given and the first teuch, is a matter of considerable which there being no characters of J or K we will be to enable us (as we could with I) and F will be to assign the sections in which they seem to a fair decree of certainty. Moreover the section is passages which can be definitely seems to source or the other, the easier the task because because we obtain a larger number of critical which to recognize either source. of the labours of critics there still remains a of the labours of critics there still remained a siderable number of passages in which the division of sources is very uncertain. There is, two, adways a certain danger of using as criteria comparatively rare words or phrases, which possibly by accident happen to occur once or twice in the source or the other. The reasonings by which the critical results are obtained are very complicated. They are chiefly those suggested by broaks in the They are chiefly those suggested by breaks in the narrative, points of contact, whether by continuity of language or connexion of subject, with known F. or J fragments, and the like. Such arguments are often more trustworthy than those derived from vocabulary. We can make this clearer by analyzing Gn 32 as an example. Here there is an P passage, and the whole certainly belongs to JE. Vv.1 and 2 (Heb.2.3, and so on with the other verses) are obviously the conclusion of an E section (3151-322), the name Elohim being used throughout and constantly, though the section has no P characteristics. It will be seen on examination that vv.3-139 belong to J. For (1) there is nation that vv. 3-13- belong to J. For (1) there is no apparent continuity between vv. 2 and 3. (2) On the other hand, vv. 3-13a form a narrative continuous in itself without any obvious breaks, and the same is true of vv. 130-21. (2) Vv. 3-13a contain parel. same is true of vv. ^{13b-21}. (3) Vv. ^{2-18a} contain parallels, differing in detail, both with the preceding and the following paragraphs, and therefore belong to a different source from either. Thus v. 100 gives a different explanation of Mahanaim from that given in v.2, and in vv. 186-21 Jacob is (a) again described as dividing his property in view of the coming of Esau, but (b) differently, each drove by itself, vv. 16. 17, instead of the whole into two, v. and (c) with a different purpose, in order to propitiate his brother by degrees with an accumulation of peace-offerings, vv. 1000 not that one might escape if Esau attacked the other as in v. 1000 defect the property is differently described, goats being added slaves—male and because smitted or rather as to slaves mentioned and of the proposed with the pr Water To a respect tion (4) Agasa harr . Contact with the personal state of the perso by fractions, 200 money could not make to E's account which then the present the prevented by God in a dream. 3. " ate, as so explained by ducen the 'a'temarked is marked Etohim. Again, other hand, Elohim is sometimes used by J: (1) 32° refers to 31° second passage. So far When God is spoken of by those not in covenant with J", as by Adam and Eve before the time of of a complete soci unmasses, passage, there is some