### Page 56

What will be said here will be based primarily on Kaurmann's translated work, <u>The Biblical</u> Account of the Conquest of Palestine.<sup>2</sup>

# Page 57

<sup>1</sup> G. E. Wright, 'The Literary and Historical Problem of Joshua 10 and Judges 1'. <u>JNES</u>, V (1946) 105-114.

## Page 58

<sup>3</sup> S. Mowinckel, <u>Zur Frage nach dokumentarischen Quellen in Josua</u> 13-19 (Oslo, J. Dybwad, 1946). He argues, against Alt, that the lists are the work of P; they rest on no documents, but a living tradition.

### Page 61

<sup>4</sup> It should be noted in passing that Kaufmann refers only to Albright's general remarks in <a href="Archaeology">Archaeology</a> and the Religion of Israel (London, Oxford University Press, 1954), 121-125 Apparently he did not know of the monograph on the subject: 'The List of Levitic Cities' (Louis Ginzberg Jubilee Volume, New York, American Academy for Jewish Research, 1945, 49-73)

## Page 62

His remarks on the aetiological factor ( $\underline{BAC}$ , 64 f, 70-74), in particular, might well cause one to applaud and underscore. That an aetiological element is frequently present he does not wish to deny.