

It means mankind in general rather than a particular man. The Son of Man is a common way of expressing it when you have two words together that are definite. Like the man to say like the son or the man of perdition, the man of sin. So you have this usage and they say the Aramaic Jesus used could not have said "the son of the man"; it must have said "the son of man", and it is a barbarism in Greek. It is true that in the Hebrew the word is "the son of man" and there is no way of putting the article there in Hebrew, but in Aramaic there is. It would have been a peculiar thing ~~xxxxxxx~~ literally to have "the son of the one who is the man". It would have been a peculiar usage in Aramaic but it is a very common expression in Aramaic tho not in Hebrew. Since Jesus spoke in Aramaic this would seem to be a literal translation of what he said. ~~bbb~~ But Paul makes much of the first Adam and of the Second Adam, etc. but we don't find that in the Gospels. Something else?

Question: Is there any particular reason why God chose to address Daniel and Ezekiel by that title?

Reply: I think he did it knowing that he would have the Lord Jesus Christ use it and laid a foundation for it. But it is very common in Ezekiel. There is 90 times (there) while it is less than 20 times in all the rest of the OT. But it would impress ~~me~~ these men who were familiar with the OT, they would be familiar with that as Ezekiel's way of showing himself who knew God's will and the message God used. But it would not I think most of them think of the one borderline case ~~book of Daniel (indistinct) God was with him.~~

Question: About the wisdom of Christians seek to get advanced degrees from secular institutions and graduate schools that have turned away from the faith. Seek for a duality of doctorates. Academic standing, degrees a union card.

Reply: It is a fact that today our country is crazy over the title of doctor. Is absurd and ridiculous. When I got my Ph.D. from the U. of PA., a close friend of mine was given an honorary degree by a Christian college. He said to me, Oh yours ~~xxx~~ is an earned degree; ~~x~~ mine is just an honorary degree!" And I said, Yet I had to work hard for many years to ~~get~~ prove I ~~was~~ deserved mine; they recognized you that you deserved yours immediately! Actually I think it is a lot of foolishness. It does indicate you have put in so many days, so many years of hard work, but perhaps in a very minor thing. It indicates a certain amount of ability.

Now Dr. Machem was one of the very greatest Old(?) Testament scholars that ever lived. He did not have any earned doctorate. But an honorary one. I think it is tremendously overstressed. But it is a fact that a schools is judged by how many of your graduates have doctor's degrees. Here we have several who have doctor's degrees, and one at least of them has it from an institution that is thoroughly unbelieving, but it so happens that in that particular institution which I will not name, has a department of OT that tears the OT to pieces, and it has a department of NT which tears the NT apart, but it has a department of