Warfield's Case for Biblical Inerrancy / John H. Gerstner

Attitudes of Various Ones toward Warfield

C. A. Briggs

James Orr

Emil Brunner

C. Van Till

E. R. Sandeen

1. Non-existent differences between Westminster Confession and Hodge Hodge and Warfield

A. Alexander and both Hodge and Warfield

- 2. Inerrancy no innovation at Princeton
- 3. The Appeal to the autographa
- 1. Warfield's Epistemology the starting point
 - a. Sense experience
 - b. The understanding

Warfield belongs to the Scottish Realistic philosopical approach of Old Princeton rather than to the Dutch presuppositionalism of A. Kuyper

c. The Will

Westminster's presuppositionalism

d. The Reason

- 2. The Object of Knowledge Revelation (Natural)
 - a. The natural sense of God
 - b. The theistic proofs
 - c. Tradition
- 3. Supernatural Revelation
 - a. Supernatural revelation to men (revelation proper)
 - b. Supernatural revelation through men (inspiration)
 - c. Supernatural revelation from men (transmission and interpretation) Reason for inspiration Definition of inspiration

Proof of inspiration

Method of inspiration